

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/886,855	PITSIANIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Satish S. Rampuria	2191	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Appeal Brief

(1) Satish S. Rampuria.

(3) _____

(2) Peter H. Priest (Reg. No. 30,210).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 October 2006

Time: 11AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

No.

Claims discussed:

16-17 and 34-35

Prior art documents discussed:

No.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Spoke to Mr. Priest regards to potential 101 issue for claims 16-17 and 34-35. Please see the Examiners amendment for detail.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)